

**Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
AT&T Corporation	)	IC No. 01-S49798
	)	01-S53938
Complaint Regarding	)	01-S54993
Unauthorized Change of	)	01-S56226
Subscriber's Telecommunications Carrier	)	01-S60225
	)	01-S61451
	)	01-S63453
	)	01-S63718
	)	01-S64404
	)	01-S64711
	)	01-S65302
	)	01-S65667
	)	02-S66711
	)	02-S67089
	)	02-S67934
	)	02-S69152
	)	02-S69268
	)	02-S71984
	)	02-S73087
	)	02-S75754
	)	02-S79081
	)	02-S79996
	)	02-S80403
	)	02-S80861
	)	02-S81123
	)	02-S81171
	)	02-F0002828

**ORDER**

**Adopted: July 29, 2003**

**Released: July 31, 2003**

By the Acting Deputy Chief, Policy Division, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau:

1. In this Order, we consider the complaint<sup>1</sup> alleging that AT&T Corporation

---

<sup>1</sup> See Appendix A 01-S64404, 01-S65302, 02-S71984, 02-S73087 and 02-F0002828 are duplicates of 01-S60225, 01-S64711, 02-S69152, 02-S75754 and 02-S80861, respectively.

(AT&T) changed Complainants' telecommunications service provider(s) without obtaining authorization and verification from each Complainant in violation of the Commission's rules.<sup>2</sup> We conclude that AT&T's actions did not result in an unauthorized change in Complainants' telecommunications service provider and we deny Complainants' complaint.

2. In December 1998, the Commission released the *Section 258 Order* in which it adopted rules to implement Section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934 (Act), as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (1996 Act).<sup>3</sup> Section 258 prohibits the practice of "slamming," the submission or execution of an unauthorized change in a subscriber's selection of a provider of telephone exchange service or telephone toll service.<sup>4</sup> In the *Section 258 Order*, the Commission adopted aggressive new rules designed to take the profit out of slamming, broadened the scope of the slamming rules to encompass all carriers, and modified its existing requirements for the authorization and verification of preferred carrier changes. The rules require, among other things, that a carrier receive individual subscriber consent before a carrier change may occur.<sup>5</sup> Pursuant to Section 258, carriers are absolutely barred from changing a customer's preferred local or long distance carrier without first complying with one of the Commission's verification procedures.<sup>6</sup> Specifically, a carrier must: (1) obtain the subscriber's written or electronically signed authorization in a format that meets the requirements of Section 64.1130 authorization; (2) obtain confirmation from the subscriber via a toll-free number provided exclusively for the purpose of confirming orders electronically; or (3) utilize an independent third party to verify the subscriber's order.<sup>7</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1100 – 64.1190.

<sup>3</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 258(a); Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996); *Implementation of the Subscriber Carrier Selection Changes Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers*, CC Docket No. 94-129, Second Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, 14 FCC Rcd 1508 (1998) (*Section 258 Order*), *stayed in part*, *MCI WorldCom v. FCC*, No. 99-1125 (D.C. Cir. May 18, 1999); First Order on Reconsideration, 15 FCC Rcd 8158 (2000); *stay lifted*, *MCI WorldCom v. FCC*, No. 99-1125 (D.C. Cir. June 27, 2000); Third Report and Order and Second Order on Reconsideration, 15 FCC Rcd 15996 (2000), Errata, DA No. 00-2163 (rel. Sept. 25, 2000), Erratum, DA No. 00-2192 (rel. Oct. 4, 2000), Order, FCC 01-67 (rel. Feb. 22, 2001); *reconsideration pending*. Prior to the adoption of Section 258, the Commission had taken various steps to address the slamming problem. See, e.g., *Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers*, CC Docket No. 94-129, Report and Order, 10 FCC Rcd 9560 (1995), *stayed in part*, 11 FCC Rcd 856 (1995); *Policies and Rules Concerning Changing Long Distance Carriers*, CC Docket No. 91-64, 7 FCC Rcd 1038 (1992), *reconsideration denied*, 8 FCC Rcd 3215 (1993); Investigation of Access and Divestiture Related Tariffs, CC Docket No. 83-1145, Phase I, 101 F.C.C.2d 911, 101 F.C.C.2d 935, *reconsideration denied*, 102 F.C.C.2d 503 (1985).

<sup>4</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).

<sup>5</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120.

<sup>6</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).

<sup>7</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120(c). Section 64.1130 details the requirements for letter of agency form and content for written or electronically signed authorizations. 47 C.F.R. § 64.1130.

3. The Commission also has adopted liability rules. These rules require the carrier to absolve the subscriber where the subscriber has not paid his or her bill. In that context, if the subscriber has not already paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the subscriber is absolved of liability for charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier for service provided during the first 30 days after the unauthorized change.<sup>8</sup> Where the subscriber has paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the Commission's rules require that the unauthorized carrier pay 150% of those charges to the authorized carrier, and the authorized carrier shall refund or credit to the subscriber 50% of all charges paid by the subscriber to the unauthorized carrier.<sup>9</sup> Carriers should note that our actions in this order do not preclude the Commission from taking additional action, if warranted, pursuant to Section 503 of the Act.<sup>10</sup>

4. We received Complainants' complaints alleging that Complainants' service provider(s) had been changed without Complainants' authorization. Pursuant to Sections 1.719 and 64.1150 of our rules,<sup>11</sup> we notified AT&T of the complaints and AT&T responded.<sup>12</sup> Based on the information provided by AT&T and Complainants' local exchange carriers, we find that AT&T has not violated our carrier change rules.<sup>13</sup>

---

<sup>8</sup> See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1160. Any charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier on the subscriber for service provided after this 30-day period shall be paid by the subscriber to the authorized carrier at the rates the subscriber was paying to the authorized carrier at the time of the unauthorized change. *Id.*

<sup>9</sup> See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1170.

<sup>10</sup> See 47 U.S.C. § 503.

<sup>11</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 1.719 (Commission procedure for informal complaints filed pursuant to Section 258 of the Act); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1150 (procedures for resolution of unauthorized changes in preferred carrier).

<sup>12</sup> See Appendix A.

<sup>13</sup> If Complainant is unsatisfied with the resolution of this complaint, Complainant may file a formal complaint with the Commission pursuant to Section 1.721 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.721. Such filing will be deemed to relate back to the filing date of Complainant's informal complaint so long as the formal complaint is filed within 45 days from the date this order is mailed or delivered electronically to Complainant. See 47 C.F.R. § 1.719.

5. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 258, and Sections 0.141, 0.361 and 1.719 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.141, 0.361, 1.719, the Complaint filed by Complainants ARE DENIED.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Nancy A. Stevenson, Acting Deputy Chief  
Policy Division  
Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau

## APPENDIX A

INFORMAL COMPLAINT	DATE OF COMPLAINT	DATE OF CARRIER RESPONSE
01-S49798	April 11, 2001	May 25, 2001
01-S53938	April 3, 2001	July 13, 2001
01-S54993	June 4, 2001	July 11, 2001
01-S56226	June 18, 2001	August 6, 2001
01-S60225	July 31, 2001	September 14, 2001
01-S61451	June 29, 2001	October 2, 2001
01-S63453	August 23, 2001	November 2, 2001
01-S63718	August 24, 2001	November 5, 2001
01-S64711	September 11, 2001	November 13, 2001
01-S65667	September 20, 2001	November 26, 2001
02-S66711	October 19, 2001	January 23, 2002
02-S67089	January 10, 2002	February 8, 2002
02-S67934	January 9, 2002	April 4, 2002
02-S69152	March 15, 2002	May 23, 2002
02-S69268	February 15, 2002	April 11, 2002
02-S73087	February 8, 2002	June 21, 2002
02-S79081	July 19, 2002	September 27, 2002
02-S79996	August 28, 2002	October 7, 2002
02-S80403	July 18, 2002	October 7, 2002
02-S80861	October 9, 2002	November 7, 2002
02-S81123	October 25, 2002	December 10, 2002
02-S81171	October 28, 2002	December 11, 2002

